IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 22 OF 2005

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 6506 OF 1995.

Yogacharya Shri Anandji... .. Petitioner.

Versus

Jaihind Co-op.Hsg.Society Ltd.& Ors.... Respondents.

Petitioner present-in-person.

Mr. Ashwin Thakkar for Respondent Nos.1 to 6.

Ms. Usha Kejriwal, APP for Respondent No.7.

CORAM : V.G. PALSHIKAR, and V.R.KINGAONKAR, JJ.

DATED : 20TH APRIL, 2006.

P.C.:

By this petition, the Petitioner seeks action for contempt of Court against the Respondents named on the allegation that they had deliberately filed statement knowing it to be false and made false statement. Request was made to the Advocate General for Maharashtra for granting consent to prosecute as required by Section 15 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. That consent was denied. Therefore, the

Petitioner has moved this petition as a realtor and wants this Court to exercise its jurisdiction under Article 215 of the Constitution. Looking to the nature of averments made, we are firmly of the view that no criminal contempt as defined by Section 2(c) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is made out. Hence, petition is dismissed.

-.-.-.-.-.-.-